



#### INTRODUCTION AND INSTRUCTIONS

#### **INTRODUCTION**

This document provides the questions in the Water Research Foundation and Water Environment Federation survey on integrated planning. To take the online survey, please go to <a href="https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/H2HCXRF">https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/H2HCXRF</a>.

#### **BACKGROUND**

On January 14, 2019, President Trump signed legislation that amended the Clean Water Act (CWA) to include EPA's 2012 framework for integrated planning for municipal stormwater and wastewater. The statute allows local water agencies to develop plans to prioritize and sequence projects to address combined sewer overflows, sanitary sewer overflows, stormwater control measures, and wastewater treatment in an affordable manner. Integrated planning can be incorporated into Consent Decrees (including existing decrees) and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits. Integrated plans can include water reclamation, recycling, reuse, or green infrastructure. The statute also establishes a municipal ombudsman within the EPA administrator's office to help municipalities with CWA compliance.

Integrated planning is voluntary. If a municipality decides to take advantage of this approach, the integrated plan that it develops provides information for the permit and enforcement processes and can support the development of conditions and requirements in permits and enforcement orders. For more information, please see EPA's website: <a href="www.epa.gov/npdes/integrated-planning-municipal-stormwater-and-wastewater">www.epa.gov/npdes/integrated-planning-municipal-stormwater-and-wastewater</a>.

In 2018, the Water Research Foundation published a Users' Guide for utilities/municipalities to follow while determining if an integrated plan is right for their community. The guide also includes information for communities to use when developing integrated plans. The guide is available at no cost at <a href="https://www.werf.org/a/ka/Search/ResearchProfile.aspx?ReportId=SIWM9R14">www.werf.org/a/ka/Search/ResearchProfile.aspx?ReportId=SIWM9R14</a>. (If you don't have a Water Research Foundation account, you can create an account and download the Users' Guide at no cost.)

The Water Research Foundation and the Water Environment Federation have been working together on the topic of integrated planning. View the Water Environment Federation's recent webcast "Integrated Planning Amendments to the Clean Water Act: What you need to know" at <a href="https://wef.org/resources/online-education/webcasts/ArchivedWebcasts/IPAmendmentsCWA\_Arch/">https://wef.org/resources/online-education/webcasts/ArchivedWebcasts/IPAmendmentsCWA\_Arch/</a>.

### **INSTRUCTIONS**

This survey is intended to provide information to update the Users' Guide by gathering information in each of the 10 EPA Regions on the status of integrated planning, the barriers (including lack of knowledge), and challenges associated with integrated planning. It is intended to take no more than 5-15 minutes to complete. Wherever possible, please answer this survey as a representative of your community's management group, rather than simply from an individual perspective.

(To ensure confidentiality, results will be aggregated. If verbatim answers are cited, they will only be attributed by EPA Region).





COMMUNITY	INFORMATION	

COMMUNITY INFORMATION	
1. What is the name of your age	ncv?
The traction and tractic or your age	,.
<ul> <li>□ Wastewater collection s</li> <li>□ Combined sewer overflow</li> <li>□ Wastewater treatment</li> <li>□ Municipal separate stor</li> <li>□ Regional stormwater / f</li> <li>□ Drinking water</li> <li>□ Recycled water</li> <li>□ Groundwater replenish</li> </ul>	m sewer system (MS4) lood control
Other (please describe)	
3. What is the estimated popula  1,000,000+ 500,000-999,999 250,000-499,999 50,000-249,999 50,000-99,999 25,000-49,999 <25,0000	tion served? [mark one]
4. What is your contact information confidential)	tion? (This is only for survey follow-up, if necessary, and will remain
Respondent name	
Primary utility city	
State/province	[select state]
Email address	
Business Phone number	
5. Were you previously aware th ☐ Yes ☐ No	nat integrated planning is now part of the Clean Water Act?





6. wna	t is the status of integrated planning in your community? [mark one]
	Never considered [go to A]
	Considered, did not pursue [go to B]
	Currently considering [go to C]
	Currently undertaking [go to D]
	Completed [go to E]
A. NE	VER CONSIDERED
7) Why	has your community not considered integrated planning? [mark all that apply]
	Not enough knowledge about integrated planning
	No internal support
	No regulatory support
	Not enough resources to do integrated planning
	No consent decree
	No pending enforcement actions
	No difficulty meeting existing NPDES permit conditions
	No total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) that could make my permit difficult to comply with
	Other
8) In ac	ldition to US EPA's website and WRF's Users Guide (see introduction), what would be most useful
•	to consider integrated planning? [mark all that apply]
	Notification by the state that this is an option for your community
	A regulatory requirement to submit an integrated plan
	Information on the state regulatory agency website
	Information on US EPA's website
	Online case study library
	Peer-to-peer network
	Series of national webinars
	National workshop
	State workshops
	Local workshops
	Other

TERMINATE SURVEY WITH ANNOUNCEMENT





## B. CONSIDERED, DID NOT PURSUE

7) Wha	t primary factors played a role in your decision not to pursue an integrated plan? [mark all that		
apply]			
	Not enough knowledge about integrated planning		
	Did not face current regulatory pressures that would be relieved by an integrated plan		
	Unable to integrate existing regulatory drivers		
	Constrained by previously approved rate structures		
	Internal issues such as staffing turnover, limited resources, and internal lack of coordination and collaboration		
	Pursued other Clean Water Act flexibilities (site-specific criteria, compliance schedule, variances,		
	use attainability analyses, etc.)		
	Concerned about additional regulatory obligations		
	Concerned about potential enforcement risks		
	Uncertainty about regulatory agency expectations		
	Lack of knowledge by state or federal regulators		
	Lack of state flexibility or support		
	Lack of US EPA flexibility or support		
	Opposition to integrated planning by environmental advocacy groups		
	Other		
8) Wou	lld you reconsider doing an integrated plan, now that it is part of the Clean Water Act? Yes		
	No		
TERMINATE SURVEY WITH ANNOUNCEMENT			
C. CUI	RRENTLY CONSIDERING		
۲/ D:٦ :	poorporating integrated planning into the Clean Water Act influence would ask in the country of		
	ncorporating integrated planning into the Clean Water Act influence your decision to consider an		
integra	ted plan?		
	Yes		
Ш	No		
8) Why	are you considering an integrated plan? [mark all that apply]		
	Maximize environmental benefits		
	Address environmental justice concerns		
	Obtain public health benefits		

THE Water Research FOUNDATION	INTEGRATED PLANNING SURVEY – JULY 8, 2019	www.wef.org
	Mitigate climate change impacts	
	Address water supply issues	
	Improve utilization of resources	
	Obtain ability to prioritize projects	
	Obtain regulatory flexibility	
	Address financial capability concerns	
	Address affordability concerns	
	Address economic justice concerns	
	Other (describe)	
that ap	t additional resources would be helpful for you to decide to pursue an integrated plan? [ply]  More information on integrated planning Online case study library Peer-to-peer contact Support from your state regulator Support from your federal regulator Other (describe)	
TERMINATE SURVEY WITH ANNOUNCEMENT		
D. CU	RRENTLY UNDERTAKING	
	the incorporation of integrated planning into the Clean Water Act influence your decision ake an integrated plan?  Yes	n to

□ No

8) Why are you undertaking an integrated plan? [mark all that apply]

☐ Maximize environmental benefits

Obtain public health benefits
 Mitigate climate change impacts
 Address water supply issues
 Improve utilization of resources
 Obtain ability to prioritize projects

☐ Address environmental justice concerns

Research
----------



	]	Addres Addres Addres	regulatory flexibility s financial capability concerns s affordability concerns s economic justice concerns describe)
		-	anding your integrated planning effort to go beyond EPA's framework (e.g., conducting an er resource management plan or participating in a multi-jurisdictional planning effort)?
	]	Yes	
			ease elaborate on how you are expanding your integrated planning effort to go beyond ramework.
	]	No	
10) I		he integ Yes	rated planning process requiring more resources than you anticipated needing?
			is the integrated planning process requiring more resources than you anticipated describe]
	1	No	
		No Unsure	
-	re rei		ving difficulty identifying or predicting legitimate future Clean Water Act (CWA)
		-	/hat are the reasons you are having difficulty identifying or predicting legitimate CWA ments? [mark all that apply]
		0	Moving targets under the CWA is making it difficult
		0	Regulators are narrowly focused on remedying current non-compliance issues
		0	Concern about identifying additional regulatory obligations Resources are not available to investigate
		0	Community doesn't see the value in spending resources to investigate
		0	Other (describe)
	]	No	
12\⊔	ac	it hoon	challenging to select metrics to evaluate human health and water quality objectives?
<b></b> / [		Yes	enancinging to select metrics to evaluate naman health and water quality objectives:





- 12.1) Why has it been challenging to select metrics to evaluate human health and water quality objectives? [mark all that apply]
- o Accurately estimating costs and determining benefits

	0	Accounterly estimating costs and determining serients
	0	Length of time needed to collect the data necessary to support development of metrics
	0	Lack of state or federal criteria in dealing with the issues in the integrated plan
	0	Other [describe]
	No	
12) !!=	ماند: م	and the Handing to avaluate offerdability ?
т <i>з)</i> на		een challenging to evaluate affordability?
	Yes	
	13.:	1) Why has it been challenging to evaluate affordability? [mark all that apply]
		<ul> <li>Not enough guidance from the regulatory agencies</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Not enough acceptance from the regulatory agencies of alternative metrics (other than</li> </ul>
		using median household income)
		Lack of guidance on balancing economic and environmental concerns
		Other (describe)
	No	
	INO	
D8) Ar	e you	ı having trouble characterizing your system(s)?
	Yes	
		4
DS	3.1) W	Vhy are you having trouble characterizing your system(s)? [mark all that apply]
		Lack asset management information
		<ul> <li>Lack of accurate "as-built" references</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Lack information on upstream or external sources of pollutants</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Lack ability to quantify pollutant loads</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Lack ability to quantify the impact of pollutant loads</li> </ul>
		o Other (describe)
	No	
. 4\		ha taranah haranan tarah dalah dalam taran 1914 tarah 19
-	•	having problems getting stakeholders to provide input?
	Yes	
14	.1) PI	ease describe what problems you are having getting stakeholders to provide input.
	No	
1 T \ A -	<b>-</b> :	aku aniina ka nain aanuu wiku wida aanuu kansa afiintaanata dalaaniina?
TO) Ar		struggling to gain community-wide acceptance of integrated planning?
	Yes	





	anning.
	No
6) Are	e you having trouble deciding how to prioritize projects?
	Yes
16	.1) Please describe why you are having trouble deciding how to prioritize projects.
	No
-> > 4	
-	nat implementation schedule do you anticipate needing to comply with current CWA
•	ements? [mark one]  Have not figured this out yet
	Five to 10 years
	10-20 years
	20-30 years
	30-50 years
	Much longer
	case select the top three integrated planning resources (tools or guidance) that would be most cial to you.  Generate asset management information Green infrastructure planning Quantify pollutant reduction Quantify water quality and/or environmental benefits Incorporating environmental justice Evaluation of alternatives Alternatives prioritization Affordability and financial capability Incorporating economic justice Organizational change Integrating nonpoint source projects into an integrated plan Other
_	
9) Ple	ase select which three decision criteria that would be important for you to rank projects.
	Capital cost
	Benefit to receiving water quality
	Performance risk





	Project pollutant reductions
	Long-term community benefits
	Operation and maintenance costs
	Operation and maintenance feasibility
	Life-cycle costs
	Long-term public safety
	Sustainability of project
	Other – describe
20) Pl	ease identify any concerns you have about performance criteria and measures [mark all that apply]
	Uncertain about acceptable measures for monitoring combined sewer overflows
	Uncertain about acceptable measures for proving elimination of sanitary sewer overflows
	Uncertain about acceptable measures for stormwater project performance (individual projects)
	Uncertain about acceptable measures for stormwater project performance system-wide
	Lack methods to determine if the integrated plan is successful
	Other (describe)
21) Do	you have a process for any of the following? [mark all that apply]
	Identifying, evaluating, and scheduling new projects
	Continued stakeholder engagement
	Modifying revenue streams
	, с
E. CC	DMPLETED
7) Did	you expand your integrated planning effort to go beyond EPA's framework (e.g., conducting an
-	ated water resource management plan or participating in a multi-jurisdictional planning effort)?
_	
	Yes
	7.1) Please elaborate on how you expanded your integrated planning effort to go beyond EPA's
	framework.
	No
	NO .
8) Ple	ase select the three most challenging aspects of developing your integrated plan.
	Identifying regulatory obligations
	System characterization
	Stakeholder participation
	Establishing metrics to evaluate human health and water quality objectives
	Selecting alternatives

Water Research FOUNDATION
---------------------------------



		Defining financial capability and affordability
		Regulatory negotiations
		Gaining community-side acceptance
		Other [describe]
U) LI	014	are you measuring success of your integrated plan [mark all that apply]
-	_	Regulatory acceptance of integrated plan
		Meeting implementation milestones in the integrated plan
		Other [describe]
	Ĺ	
10)	Wh	nat environmental and public health benefits do you expect to achieve with your integrated plan?
-		all that apply]
	Π	Maximize environmental benefits
		Address environmental justice concerns
		Obtain public health benefits
		Mitigate climate change impacts
		Address water supply issues
		Improve utilization of resources
		Obtain ability to prioritize projects
	П	Obtain ability to prioritize projects  Obtain regulatory flexibility
		Address financial capability concerns
	_	
		Address affordability concerns
		Address economic justice concerns
		Other (describe)
11)	We	ere the resources that you budgeted for the plan sufficient?
,		Yes
		No
	11.	1) Why were the resources that you budgeted for the plan insufficient? [describe]
12)	Do	you have any advice for communities that want to undertake an integrated plan? [describe]





#### TERMINATE SURVEY WITH ANNOUNCEMENT

### **ANNOUNCEMENT**

Thank you very much for your participation in the survey.

# **Interested in Learning More about Integrated Planning?**

Attend the Integrated Planning Roundtable Technical Session (Session #419) at WEFTEC in Chicago on Tuesday, September 24, 2019 from 1:30-3:00pm. This interactive session will include representatives from the Water Environment Federation's Integrated Planning Subcommittee, US EPA, Ohio EPA, and several municipalities. The session will include various insights and plenty of time for questions and answers.